	Case 1:14-cv-03860-AJP Document 96 Filed 12/03/13 Page 1 of 2
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6	AT SEATTLE
7	
8	) No. C11-1423RSL
9	In re L&L ENERGY, INC. SECURITIES LITIGATION ORDER REGARDING CONSIDERATION OF
10	) DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS
11	)
12	
13	This matter comes before the Court on plaintiff's "Motion to Delay Consideration
14	of Motion for Summary Judgment." Dkt. # 88. Plaintiff requests that, if the Court were to take
15	judicial notice of the extrinsic evidence submitted by defendants in support of their motion to
16	dismiss, that the motion be converted to a summary judgment motion and continued until
17	plaintiff has an opportunity to conduct discovery.
18	Defendants' third request for judicial notice has been granted in part. In
19	considering defendant's motion to dismiss, the Court took notice of the Glaucus Research Group
20	report, the existence of publicly-filed documents, and the existence of other documents the
21	authenticity of which has not been reasonably challenged. The Court did not, however, consider
22	the judicially-noticed documents for the truth of the matters asserted therein or attempt to resolve
23	the various fact issues and challenges to authenticity that were raised in the context of the motion
24	to dismiss. Because the Court has focused on the adequacy of the pleading and not on the
25	quantum of evidence provided, the motion need not be converted into a motion for summary
26	

ORDER REGARDING CONSIDERATION OF DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS

## Case 1:14-cv-03860-AJP Document 96 Filed 12/03/13 Page 2 of 2

1	judgment. Plaintiff's motion to delay consideration of a summary judgment motion is therefore
2	DENIED as moot.
3	
4	
5	Dated this 2nd day of December, 2013.
6	MMS Casnik
7	MMS (aswik) Robert S. Lasnik United States District Judge
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

26